

**THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant: Mauricio H. Alva  
Serial No.: 10/743,665  
Filed: December 22, 2003  
Title: MRAM Storage Device

Examiner: Tuan T. Nguyen  
Group Art Unit: 2824  
Docket No.: 200208227-1

**COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Mail Stop: Issue Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please enter the following Response to Examiner's Reasons for Allowance.  
Remarks begin on page 2.

**AUTHORIZATION TO DEBIT ACCOUNT**

It is believed that no extensions of time or fees for net addition of claims are required, beyond those, which may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, in the event that additional extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such extensions are hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), and any fees required therefore (including fees for net addition of claims) are hereby authorized to be charged to Hewlett-Packard Development Company's deposit account no. 08-2025.

**REMARKS**

In the Examiner's reasons for allowance, the Examiner states (portions omitted for brevity):

The prior art of record (Ledford et al., Oikawa et al., Perner et al., Tanaka, Ooishi, and Smith et al.), fail to disclose a memory device comprising, in combination with other cited limitations, the first and second ....

Claims 2-16 are therefore allowed because of their dependency on claim 1.

Applicant agrees that the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited recitations. The Examiner, however, did not cite recitations from all of the claims. Applicant submits that no words or terms should be "read into" a claim based on the statements of the Examiner. Instead, the present claims are allowable for at least the reason that the art of record does not teach or suggest all of the recitations of the claims as actually recited in the claims themselves. Further, the dependent claims are additionally allowable since each of these claims recites recitations that are not taught or suggested in the art of record. Applicant therefore respectfully asserts that the scope of each claim as allowed is to be determined from the actual claim language, including all equivalents.

Respectfully submitted,



Philip S. Lyren  
Reg. No. 40,709  
Ph: 281-514-8236

Date: December 14, 2005